June 24, 2021

## RE: TOWNSHIP OF SOUTHGATE NEW ELEVATED MUNICIPAL DRINKING WATER STORAGE FACILITY PRELIMINARY DESIGN REPORT DUNDALK, ONTARIO OUR FILE: T4612A DWWP No.:110-201

Dear Riaz ul Haq,
On behalf of the Township of Southgate, we are pleased to provide the following preliminary design report in support of the construction of a new municipal drinking water elevated storage facility (water tower) to service the Community of Dundalk, Ontario.

## Background Information:

## Existing Water System

The Dundalk municipal drinking water system (the system) consists of three (3) groundwater wells, three (3) ground level water storage reservoirs currently being used a chlorine contact tanks (CCT) and approximately 19 kilometers of distribution watermains. The system is currently owned and operated by the Corporation of the Township of Southgate, under Drinking Water Works Permit number 110-201 (attached). The water tower is proposed to improve the level of service to the community, including an increase in water available for fire-fighting, increased reliability in system pressures and more efficient use of pumping equipment.

The existing Dundalk system is supplied by grade level storage facilities located at the existing well houses, as indicated in Table 1 below. As there is no elevated storage facility, pressure within the system is maintained by continuous pumping and fire flows must be achieved through the use of a large diesel fire pump. This mode of operation has several disadvantages as follows:

- Continually running of pumps, even when system demand is negligible, results in wasted power usage and excessive wear on pumping equipment.
- Relying on the pumps to maintain a consistent operating pressure at a wide range of demands results in pumps not running efficiently much of the time and requires complicated control of the various pumps.
- Having an elevated storage volume allows pumps to be run during off peak times when hydro rates are lower.
- Utilizing a large pump to meet fire demands results in additional maintenance as this pump needs to be run/maintained regularly so it is available at any time. Further, this system is not typically automatic, it requires operator intervention to ensure it performs adequately during a fire condition. This intervention requirement can result in delays in delivering adequate fire flows at critical times.

As indicated by the MOE guidelines, storage facilities (D4 and D5) that are designed for treatment (i.e., Chlorine Contact) are not typically to be included in the storage volume requirement calculations. As such, system storage is currently provided by a on-grade reservoir located adjacent to Well D3. This storage is available to the system using a large diesel-powered pump that provides fire flows when required. This pump is expensive to maintain and operate. Therefore, the intention is to decommission the fire pump and only use the storage tank as a chlorine contact tank for treatment.

However, suitability of the continued use of this storage tank will need to be assessed since it may be preferable to decommission the existing tank/system entirely and replace it with a new CCT. The intent is that all other storage facilities (D4 and D5) will remain in service as is required for treatment.

## Table 1 - Existing Storage Facilities

| Operating Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | D4 <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | D5 <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Total <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| 1,306 | 188 | 540 | 2,034 |

## Class EA Status

The concept and need for an elevated tower were previously identified within the Schedule B Municipal Class EA completed in conjunction with the addition of Well D5.

## Service Area \& Population:

Based on projections provided by stakeholders (Township \& County) and senior municipal representatives, the expected growth of residential units, and the equivalent thereof (ERUs), within Dundalk is going to continue at a rate of 150 units for the next 5 years (2020-2025) and then 120 units for the following 20 (2026-2046), resulting in a total growth of 3,150 units by the end of year 2046. Growth beyond the year 2046 is expected to continue at an average rate of $2 \%$ until the 50 -year planning horizon (2073) is achieved. Therefore, growth has been estimated up to the year 2073 as the water tower is expected to be in operation by the beginning of 2023 (i.e., 50 -year horizon). Refer to Table 2 below for additional information.

Table 2 - Population Growth Forecast

| Year | Growth <br> Assumed |  | New Equivalent <br> Residential Units <br> (ERUs) | Total <br> ERUs |  | Population <br> (Capita) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | Existing | Existing | $1,067^{1}$ | 2,774 |  |  |
| 2025 | 150 ERUs/year | 750 | 1,817 | 4,769 |  |  |
| 2030 | 120 ERUs/year | 600 | 2,417 | 6,365 |  |  |
| 2035 | 120 ERUs/year | 600 | 3,017 | 7,961 |  |  |
| 2040 | 120 ERUs/year | 600 | 3,617 | 9,557 |  |  |
| 2045 | 120 ERUs/year | 600 | 4,217 | 11,153 |  |  |
| 2050 | $2 \%$ Annualized growth | 439 | 4,656 | 12,320 |  |  |
| 2055 | $2 \%$ Annualized growth | 485 | 5,140 | 13,610 |  |  |
| 2060 | $2 \%$ Annualized growth | 535 | 5,676 | 15,033 |  |  |
| 2065 | $2 \%$ Annualized growth | 591 | 6,266 | 16,604 |  |  |
| 2070 | $2 \%$ Annualized growth | 652 | 6,918 | 18,339 |  |  |
| 2073 | $2 \%$ Annualized growth | 423 | 7,342 | 19,465 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ As reported in the Dundalk Waterworks 2020 Annual Report (attached for reference).

## Site Location

The proposed water tower site location was previously selected during the Schedule B Municipal Class EA completed in conjunction with the addition of Well D5. The selected site is located on existing Municipal Property at the Well D4 site, located at 550 Main St East in Dundalk (NAD 83, Zone 17, +/- 10 m, 549154 m E, 4891748 m N). Refer to Figure 1 below and DWG 01 attached.


Figure 1 - Site Location

## Water Demand \& Fire Flow

## Maximum and Average Day Demands

The existing historic 3-year; Maximum Day Demand (MDD), Average Day Demand (ADD) as reported in the annual Reserve Capacity (RC) Calculations (attached) and the populations as described above results in the following expected future domestic and/or Industrial, Commercial, Institutional (ICI) demands. It is understood that the Township will allocate RC to both residential and ICI developments based on expected demands and it is therefore appropriate to calculate expected demands based on the equivalent population presented.

Table 3 - Maximum and Average Day Demands

| End of <br> Year | Population <br> (Capita) | MDD <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} /\right.$ day $)$ | ADD <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} /\right.$ day $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 2,774 | 918 | 569 |
| 2025 | 4,769 | 1,578 | 978 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 6}$ |
| 2035 | 7,961 | 2,634 | 1,633 |
| 2040 | 9,557 | 3,163 | 1,960 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 8 8}$ |
| 2050 | 12,320 | 4,077 | 2,527 |
| 2055 | 13,610 | 4,504 | 2,791 |
| 2060 | 15,033 | 4,974 | 3,083 |
| 2065 | 16,604 | 5,494 | 3,406 |
| 2070 | 18,339 | 6,068 | 3,761 |
| 2073 | 19,465 | 6,441 | 3,992 |

## Storage Volume

## Required Storage Volume

The required fire flows and total storage requirements based on the populations and demands described above have been calculated using Table 8-1 and Section 8.4.2 of the Ministry of Environment Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems (2008). The results have been summarized and presented in Table 4 below and calculated using the following formula:

Total Treated Water Storage Required $=$
A (Fire Storage)

+ B (Equalization Storage, that is $25 \%$ MDD)
+C (Emergency Storage, that is $25 \%$ of $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ )

Table 4 - Fire Flow \& Storage Required

| Planning <br> Period | Population <br> (Capita) | Fire Flow <br> Duration <br> (hours) | Fire <br> Flow <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$ | A <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |  | $\mathbf{B}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 2,774 | 2 | 100 | 720 | 230 | 237 | C <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| Treated Water <br> Storage <br> Required <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 | 4,769 | 2 | 130 | 936 | 395 | 333 | 1,187 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 0}$ | 527 | $\mathbf{5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 8 3}$ |
| 2035 | 7,961 | 3 | 170 | 1,836 | 659 | 624 | 3,118 |
| 2040 | 9,557 | 3 | 190 | 2,052 | 791 | 711 | 3,553 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 8 8}$ |
| 2050 | 12,320 | 3 | 220 | 2,376 | 1,019 | 849 | 4,244 |
| 2055 | 13,610 | 3 | 230 | 2,484 | 1,126 | 902 | 4,512 |
| 2060 | 15,033 | 3 | 250 | 2,700 | 1,244 | 986 | 4,930 |
| 2065 | 16,604 | 3 | 260 | 2,808 | 1,374 | 1,045 | 5,227 |
| 2070 | 18,339 | 4 | 270 | 3,888 | 1,517 | 1,351 | 6,756 |
| 2073 | 19,465 | 4 | 280 | 4,032 | 1,610 | 1,411 | 7,053 |

Based on the above, a water tower constructed to service the 2073 population would result in stored water being unused for over 12 days under the existing average day demand, resulting in potential water quality and operational issues. Given this, a shorter design period is recommended which will allow adequate volume turnover in the near term. The proposed tower will be sized based on the year 2045 population of $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 5 3}$ resulting in a storage volume of $\mathbf{3 , 9 8 8} \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{3}}$. In addition, this shorter design period will allow the municipality to plan for a second storage facility in the mid-term that will provide system storage redundancy and more accurate long-term planning of storage volume. The water quality will be maintained by way of appropriate circulation piping and management/operation methodology described in the following sections.

## Design \& Configuration

## Operating Levels \& System Pressure:

The topography of the existing and future service area ranges from approximately 505.5 to 528.0 meters above sea-level ( m ), requiring the minimum Hydraulic Grade Level (HGL) within the tower being set at least 565.5 m to maintain an ideal system pressure above $350 \mathrm{kPa}(50 \mathrm{PSI}$ ) under MDD, confirmed through system modelling.

Observations at the existing well houses, and reflected in the system model, indicate that the water system operates at a HGL of $\mathbf{5 6 7 . 8 6 m}$ to $\mathbf{5 7 2 . 4 5 m}$.

Therefore, to remain consistent with the current operating conditions and to ensure adequate service to the expected future areas, it is recommended that water tower be designed with a typical minimum operating HGL of 568.0 m and highest water level (HWL) of 571 m . This high HWL results in the maximum system pressures being below the recommended limit of $700 \mathrm{kPa}(100 \mathrm{psi})$.

Further, the Tower has been designed to meet or exceed the recommendations of the MOE Guideline 8.4.2, with the equalization volume (B) being available between the Top Operating/Highest Water Level (HWL) and an elevation necessary to maintain $275 \mathrm{kPa}(40 \mathrm{psi})$ within the majority of the system under maximum day demand. The fire (A) and emergency (C) component volumes (i.e., $A+C$ ) are available
between the bottom elevation of the B volume and the elevation necessary to produce a minimum 140 $\mathrm{kPa}(20 \mathrm{psi})$ under the maximum day plus fire flow condition.

Based on the above requirements, and assuming a conceptual tower configuration as per Figure 2.0, the required volumes and elevations are illustrated on Figure 3.0 and listed in the following table.

The tower has been sized to ultimately service a population significantly larger than existing. In order to reduce operational issues in the near term, the tower will be operated at lower operating levels to reduce the excess volume on the system. Two operating conditions have been considered, 2030 and 2045 as described below.

Table 5 - Tower Volume \& Operating Levels

| Parameter | Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2030 | 2045 |  |
| Total Volume Required <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 2,683 | 3,988 |  |
| $(B)$ Required Equalization Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 527 | 923 |  |
| HWL <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | 569.6 | 571.0 |  |
| A + C Required Component Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 2,157 | 3,066 |  |
| LWL <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | 561.2 | 558.7 |  |
| Base Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |

Expected system pressures, during maximum day demand at various points on the system are presented in the following table.

Table 6 - System Pressures

| Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | Maximum <br> $(\mathrm{PSI})$ | Minimum <br> $(\mathrm{PSI})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2045 HWL | 571.00 | $\mathbf{8 8 . 3}$ | 56.7 |
| 2030 HWL | 569.60 | 86.4 | 54.9 |
| HGL (Typical) | 568.00 | $\mathbf{8 4 . 1}$ | 52.6 |
| 2030 LWL | 561.15 | 74.4 | 42.9 |
| 2045 LWL | 558.68 | 58.9 | $\mathbf{3 9 . 4}$ |

The above expected system pressures are calculated using the Township's WaterCAD system model. As noted, the specified HWL and LWL elevations for the two design periods considered will satisfy the requirements of the MOE and provide ideal system pressures.

## Draw Pipe Sizing

Given that the existing fire pump at D3 will be decommissioned and removed from the system, the maximum flow rate from the tower will be equal to the fire flow rate for the entire community, plus the maximum day demand base on the future system demand projections. Therefore, a draw pipe size of $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{m m}$ diameter has been provided, resulting in a velocity of 2.5 to $3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and 0.021 to 0.041 meters of head loss, per meter, as indicated in the following table.

Table 7 - Draw Pipe Sizing

| Year | Population | MDD <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$ | Fire Flow <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$ | Total Flow <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$ | Velocity <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | Losses Per <br> Meter $(\mathrm{C}=120)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2030 | 6,365 | 24 | 150 | 174 | 2.5 | 0.051 |
| 2045 | 11,153 | 43 | 210 | 253 | 3.6 | 0.101 |

## Overflow Pipe Sizing

The overflow pipe is sized to accommodate the total supply capacity of the Dundalk Municipal wells, which have a maximum daily capacity of $2,817 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day ( $32.6 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ ) based on the Permit to Take Water. However, if an operational error is made and all three wells operate at their maximum pumping capacity, the total instantaneous flow rate could be as high as $55.3 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$. Therefore, an overflow pipe size of $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ diameter has been provided, resulting in a velocity of $1.76 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and 0.018 meters of head loss per meter.

## Fill Pipe Sizing

The Municipal water supply system maximum daily capacity currently $2,817 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ ( $32.6 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ ) as specified in the Permit to Take Water, however, an allowance for increase supply capacity is assumed, therefore the 2045 maximum day demand flow rate of $42.7 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ is used to determine the required fill pipe diameter. Given this, a fill pipe diameter of 200 mm diameter has been provided, resulting in a velocity of $1.36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and 0.011 meters of head loss per meter.

## Water Quality

## Chlorination

The proposed water tower will be equipped with a separate chlorine room, complete with a chlorine residual sampling and and chlorine injection equipment necessary to achieve standard chlorine levels consistent with the existing DWWP and in accordance with the O.Reg. 170/03.

The chlorine residual analyzer is capable of providing continuous measurement of free chlorine, total free chlorine and pH and situated in the control room, with the sensor installed near the base of the draw pipe.

The re-circulation pump and associated piping ( 65 mm ) will re-circulate water from the base of the draw pipe back into the tank at a flow rate of $12.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$, resulting in an exit velocity of $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ through the 40 mm nozzle. This flow rate and velocity will assist with mixing within the tank.

A $12 \%$ sodium hypochlorite solution is injected into the re-circulation flow stream by one of two chlorinators ( 1 duty, 1 back-up), which are situated in the control room. The point of injection is immediately downstream of the re-circulation pump. Each chlorinator has a minimum pumping capacity of $1.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{hr}$, which provides a chlorine dosage of $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ based on the re-circulation rate of $12.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$, as per the following calculation:

Sodium Hypochlorite Solution Feed Rate:
$=12.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s} \times 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \div 12 \% \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mg} \div 1.025 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L} \times 3600 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{hr}=1.46 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{hr}$
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The re-chlorination system will be operated as required to maintain the minimum $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ free chlorine levels within the storage tank. The analyzer will be on-line continuously. If chlorine residual levels drop below an operator selected preset level (i.e., $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), the analyzer will signal the SCADA system which will activate the re-chlorination system. If the residuals continue to drop, the operator will be alarmed. Once the analyzer detects those residual levels have increased to the operator selected high preset level, it will signal the SCADA system which will turn off the re-chlorination system.

## Circulation

Circulation of the system water through the Fill pipe is improved using a 150 mm turbulent jet mixing nozzle provided within the water tower. The lowest flow rate into the water tower shall be taken as $13.68 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$, equal to the flow rate from the lowest producing Well, D3. This arrangement results in an inflow to nozzle diameter ratio of 91.2 , which is greater than the required 3.6. Refer to the calculations provided below.

$$
\text { Inflow Rate }(13.68 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}) \div \text { Nozzle Diameter }(0.15 \mathrm{~m})=91.2
$$

Further, to ensure adequate mixing, the change in water volume during the filling cycle shall be equal to $9 \times \mathrm{d} \times \mathrm{V} 1^{2 / 3}$, where d is the inlet diameter ( $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 m}$ ) and V 1 is the volume in the tank at the start of filling. As the tower has been designed for two future servicing scenarios, 2030 and 2045 servicing years, the estimated volume of water in the tank at the start of fill will be based on $70 \%$ full for the 2030 and 2045 design years, respectively. Based on the average flow rate of a well house high lift pump of $18.4 \mathrm{~L} / \mathbf{s}$, the required filling time is then calculated for the respective service years. Refer to Table 8 below.

## Table 8 - Minimum Filling Time

| Year | Volume at Start <br> of Filling <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Change in <br> Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Minimum <br> Fill Time <br> $(\mathrm{Hrs})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2030 | 1,878 | 564.96 | 206 | 4.18 |
| 2045 | 2,792 | 566.21 | 268 | 5.45 |

Further to this, the tank has been designed such that $20 \%$ of volume at the start of filling will be turned over (used) 1.5 to 4.1 times within one day, to ensure adequate mixing. Refer to Table 9 below.

Table 9 - Fill Cycles Per Day

| Year | ADD <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}\right)$ | 20\% Volume at <br> Start of Filling <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Cycles <br> per Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing | 569 | 376 | 1.5 |
| 2030 | 1,306 | 376 | 3.5 |
| 2045 | 2,288 | 558 | 4.1 |

## Operation Control

The proposed facility will be incorporated into the existing SCADA system and will float on system pressures. Provisions will be incorporated into the SCADA system to allow control of the existing system well pumphouses based on levels in the proposed elevated tower. Water level alarms for low and highwater levels, entry, smoke and illumination failure will be provided and incorporated into the existing SCADA system.

## Conclusion:

As provided, the Township of Southgate has determined that a water tower with a capacity of $\mathbf{4 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}$, and a high-water level of 571.00 m shall be constructed to service the existing and future needs of the community.

If you have any questions, please contact us.

Yours very truly,

Ray D. Kirtz, P.Eng
Dustin C. Lyttle, P.Eng



