

SENT ELECTRONICALLY ONLY: hmalynyk@southgate.ca

March 15, 2022

Township of Southgate 185667 Grey Road 9 RR 1 Dundalk, Ontario NOC 1B0

ATTENTION: Holly Malynyk, Administrative and Legislative Assistant

Dear Ms. Malynyk,

RE: Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment C2-22 (Reuben and Saloma Martin)

226168 Southgate Road 22

North Part Lot 26 and North Part Lot 27, Concession 14

Roll No.: 420709000301900 Geographic Township of Proton

Township of Southgate

Saugeen Valley Conservation Authority (SVCA) staff has reviewed the above-noted application as per our delegated responsibility from the Province to represent provincial interests regarding natural hazards identified in Section 3.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS, 2020) and as a regulatory authority under Ontario Regulation 169/06 (SVCA's Development, Interference with Wetlands, and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation). SVCA staff has also provided comments as per our Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Township of Southgate representing natural hazards, and natural heritage. Furthermore, the application has been reviewed through our role as a public body under the *Planning Act* as per our Conservation Authority Member approved Environmental Planning and Regulations Policies Manual, amended October 16, 2018.

Purpose and Effect

The purpose of the proposed zoning bylaw amendment application is to change the list of permitted uses to allow for dry manufacturing. Currently, metal work and welding are permitted for the existing shop. The proposed use will be added to the existing permitted uses and the limitation on employees will be removed. The owners wish to add the dry manufacturing use to the list of permitted uses for the Agricultural A1-391 exception zone. The shop including office and power room is proposed remain the same and has been previously approved for expansion of up to 345m2 in size. The outside storage area is proposed to be 592m2.

Recommendation

The proposed zoning by-law amendment application is acceptable to SVCA staff.



Township of Southgate C2-22 (Reuben and Saloma Martin) March 15, 2022 Page 2 of 5

Delegated Responsibility and Advisory Comments

SVCA staff has reviewed the application through our delegated responsibility from the Province to represent provincial interests regarding natural hazards identified in Section 3.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS, 2020). We have also reviewed the application through our responsibilities as a service provider to the Township of Southgate in that we provide expert advice and technical clearance on *Planning Act* applications with regards to natural hazards, and natural heritage as set out in the PPS, 2020, County Official Plan (OP) and/or local official plans. Comments below only include features/technical requirements affecting the property.

Natural Hazards:

In the opinion of SVCA staff, the western portion and a very small area in the eastern portion of the property are designated Hazard Lands in the Grey County Official Plan (OP) and the Township of Southgate OP. This same area is also zoned Environmental Protection (EP) in the Township of Southgate Zoning By-law No. 19-2002, as amended. SVCA staff is of the opinion that the Hazard Lands designation and the EP zone generally coincides with the Hazardous Lands mapping as plotted by SVCA staff for the property. In general, no new buildings or structures are permitted within the Hazard Lands designation or the EP zone. Based on the plans submitted with the application, it is the opinion of SVCA staff that the location of the proposed development, including the existing building and area to be rezoned, will not be located in, or adjacent to the EP zone, therefore the development proposal is acceptable to SVCA staff.

<u>Provincial Policy Statement – Section 3.1</u>

Section 3.1.1 of the PPS, 2020 states in part that development shall generally be directed to areas outside of hazardous lands and hazardous sites. It is the opinion of SVCA staff that development as proposed is consistent with Section 3.1.1 of the PPS, 2020.

Township of Southgate Official Plan and Grey County Official Plan

Section 6.2 of the Southgate OP and Section 7.2.3 of the Grey County OP states in part that buildings and structures are generally not permitted in the Hazard Lands land use type. As mentioned above, it is the opinion of SVCA staff that the area to be rezoned will not be located within the EP zone, therefore development is acceptable to the SVCA. It is the opinion of SVCA staff that development as proposed is consistent with the natural hazard policies of the Southgate OP and the Grey County OP.

Natural Heritage:

Based on SVCA desktop review, it is the opinion of SVCA staff that the significant natural heritage features affecting the property include fish habitat and its adjacent lands, wetlands, and habitat of endangered species and threatened species.

Provincial Policy Statement – Section 2.1

Section 2.1 of the PPS, 2020 states in part that development shall not be permitted in fish habitat and its adjacent lands, significant wetlands, and habitat of endangered species and threatened species, and the adjacent lands to the above referenced features except in accordance with the specified policies found in Section 2.1.

Township of Southgate C2-22 (Reuben and Saloma Martin) March 15, 2022 Page 3 of 5

Township of Southgate Official Plan and Grey County Official Plan Policies

Fish Habitat

An unnamed tributary of the Saugeen River flows adjacent to the western, and part of the northern property line, furthermore, Hanna Drainage Works Municipal Drain flows along lands adjacent to the eastern property line. These watercourses are considered fish habitat by SVCA staff. Section 2.1.8 of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS 2020) indicates that, among other things, development and site alteration shall not be permitted on the adjacent lands of fish habitat unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on fish habitat or on their ecological functions. In the opinion of SVCA staff, proposed development on the property is not located within the adjacent lands to fish habitat, and that the preparation of an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) is not warranted for this proposal at this time. As such, SVCA staff are not recommending the preparation of an EIS to address fish habitat at this time.

Other Identified Wetlands

According to information available to SVCA staff, wetlands exist in the western portion of the property, and on lands adjacent to the western portion of the property. The wetlands on lands adjacent to the western portion of the property are included on Appendix B Constraint Mapping of the Grey County OP as Other Identified Wetlands. However, the wetlands on the property are not included on Appendix B Constraint Mapping of the Grey County OP as Other Identified Wetlands, but perhaps should be. Section 2.8.6(7) of the Grey County OP states in part that no development or site alterations are permitted within the 30 metre adjacent lands to other identified wetlands unless it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or on their ecological functions. In the opinion of SVCA staff, the location of the proposed development associated with this application is not within the adjacent lands to wetlands, and that the preparation of an EIS is not warranted to address wetlands at this time. As such, SVCA staff are not recommending the preparation of an EIS to address wetlands at this time.

Endangered Species and Threatened Species

It has come to the attention of SVCA staff that habitat of endangered species and/or threatened species may be located on and/or on lands adjacent to the property. The SVCA's role is to identify habitat through a screening process in consideration of the PPS, 2020 and local policies, however, it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure the endangered species and threatened species policy referred to in the PPS and the local OPs have been appropriately addressed. We note that no new buildings or structures are proposed as part of the application. However, please contact the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) for information on how to address this policy. MECP inquiries can be addressed to SAROntario@ontario.ca.

Statutory Comments

SVCA staff has reviewed the application as per our responsibilities as a regulatory authority under Ontario Regulation 169/06 (SVCA's Development, Interference with Wetlands, and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation). This regulation, made under Section 28 of the *Conservation Authorities Act*, enables SVCA to regulate development in or adjacent to river or stream valleys, Great Lakes and inland lake shorelines, watercourses, hazardous lands and wetlands. Subject to the CA Act, development taking place on or adjacent to these lands may require permission from SVCA to confirm that the control of flooding, erosion, dynamic beaches, pollution or the conservation of land are not affected. SVCA also regulates the alteration to or interference in any way with a watercourse or wetland.

Areas of the property are within the Approximate Screening Area associated with the SVCA's Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation (Ontario Regulation

Township of Southgate C2-22 (Reuben and Saloma Martin) March 15, 2022 Page 4 of 5

169/06, as amended). This Regulation is in accordance with Section 28 of the *Conservation Authorities Act* R.S.O, 1990, Chap. C. 27, and requires that a person obtain the written permission of the SVCA prior to any "development" within a Regulated Area or alteration to a wetland or watercourse.

"Development" as defined under the Conservation Authorities Act means:

- a) the construction, reconstruction, erection or placing of a building or structure of any kind;
- b) any change to a building or structure that would have the effect of altering the use or potential use of the building or structure, increasing the size of the building or structure or increasing the number of dwelling units in the building or structure;
- c) site grading; or,
- d) the temporary or permanent placing, dumping or removal of any material, originating on the site or elsewhere.

And:

"Alteration" as per Section 5 of Ontario Regulation 169/06 generally includes the straightening, diverting or interference in any way with a rive, creek, stream or watercourse, or the changing or interfering in any way with a wetland.

To determine the SVCA Approximate Screening Area on the property, please refer to the SVCA's online mapping program, available via the SVCA's website at http://eprweb.svca.on.ca. Should you require assistance, please contact our office directly. For the property the SVCA Approximate Screening Area includes the larger of: any unevaluated wetlands located on the property as well as a 30 metre offset distance outwards from the unevaluated wetland edge; and the watercourses located on lands adjacent to the property, and its related flood hazard, and 15 metres outwards from the floodplain of a watercourse.

SVCA Permission for Development or Alteration

Development or alteration including construction, reconstruction, conversion, grading, filling or excavation, including agricultural tile drainage, proposed within the SVCA Approximate Screening Area on the property may require permission (SVCA Permit) prior to those works commencing.

Based on the plans submitted with the application, all development of: area to be re-zoned, will all be located beyond/outside of the SVCA Approximate Screening Area, therefore permission (SVCA permit) will not be required for development as proposed for the property.

Summary

SVCA staff has reviewed the application in accordance with our MOA with the Township of Southgate, and as per our mandated responsibilities for natural hazard management, including our regulatory role under the *Conservation Authorities Act*.

Given the above comments, it is the opinion of SVCA staff that:

- 1) Consistency with Section 3.1, Natural Hazard policies of the PPS has been demonstrated.
- 2) Consistency with Section 2.1, Natural Heritage policies of the PPS has been demonstrated, with the exception of Section 2.1.7 habitat of endangered species and threatened species, which the applicant/owner must address.
- 3) Consistency with Grey County OP and Township of Southgate policies for natural hazards and natural heritage has been demonstrated, with the exception of habitat of endangered species and threatened species, must be addressed by the applicant/owner.

Township of Southgate C2-22 (Reuben and Saloma Martin) March 15, 2022 Page 5 of 5

Please inform this office of any decision made by the Township of Southgate with regard to the application. We respectfully request to receive a copy of the decision and notice of any appeals filed. Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Michael Oberle

Environmental Planning Technician

Saugeen Conservation

Michael Oberle

MO/

cc: Israel Bowman, agent (via email)

Barbara Dobreen, SVCA Member representing the Township of Southgate (via email)